



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,090	02/17/2004	Gunnar Quincke	2858	6323
7590 12/15/2005 STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			EXAMINER KOVACS, ARPAD F	
			ART UNIT 3671	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/781,090

Applicant(s)

QUINCKE ET AL.

Examiner

Árpád Fábián Kovács

Art Unit

3671

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/27/2005</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restrictions*

1. Applicant's election of claims 1-19 in the reply filed on 10/27/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically state that the election is made with traverse, the election has been treated as an election without traverse.

In re applicant's remarks that features recited in claims 1 & 13 are generic to all the other claims, it is incorrect. Although, the applicant did not specify how each independent non-elected Group (II & II) contains every element of Group I. However, the Examiner would like to point out that in claim 1, the following recitation is made and not present in claim 20:

“transporting means; deviating from the transporting means ...  
compacting device is a separate device located outside the transporting  
means ... deviated crop probe ... compacting device located outside the  
transporting means.”

While in claim 20, the following recitation is made and not present in claim 1:

“opening a probe chamber of the compacting device in a traveling direction of  
the agricultural harvester which is opposite to the transporting direction of  
the crop.”

The restriction requirement is made **FINAL**.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al (3270279, cited by Applicant), in view of Dyck (3890830).

Jackson teaches diverting a crop probe (deviated crop probe) into a compression chamber, located outside a transporting/conduit means, and measuring/sensing the crop probe (col. 1, ln 60-73; enclosure/cylinder/chamber 8; tube/pipe 45-47, 41-42; piston 11).

Jackson discloses the claimed device except for measuring the compression of the crop probe.

Dyck discloses that it is known in the art to provide for the compacting device a compression/pressure sensor to obtain the moisture content of the crop (piston 50; compression/pressure sensor 12; pressure gauge 124 & needle showing compression at different compression stages).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the compression chamber of Jackson with the compression/pressure sensor of Dyck, in order to take advantage of improvements

Art Unit: 3671

developed in measuring crop moisture by using compression/pressure measuring means for obtaining greater accuracy.

As applied to claim(s) 1-12, in view of the structure disclosed/taught by Jackson as modified by Dyck, the method of operating/using the device would have been obvious since it is the normal and logical manner in which the device is used.

Art Unit: 3671

*Response to Arguments*

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


*Conclusion*

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Domaica, Satake, Schroeder et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Árpád Fábián Kovács  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3671

ÁFK